## SOMERVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY Somerville, Massachusetts

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PRCEDURES

AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2024

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures | 1    |
| Schedule of Agreed Upon Procedures                                 | 2-8  |



## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To The Board of Commissioners Somerville Housing Authority Somerville, Massachusetts

We have performed the procedures enumerated in the attached Schedule of Agreed-Upon Procedures on compliance and other matters prescribed by the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) pursuant to Massachusetts General Law Chapter 235 Section 10 as of and for the year ended March 31, 2024. The Somerville Housing Authority is responsible for compliance and other matters prescribed by the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) pursuant to Massachusetts General Law Chapter 235 Section 10.

The engaging party, the Somerville Housing Authority has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the requirements of DHCD for the year ended March 31, 2024. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes.

The procedures and associated findings are presented in the Schedule of Agreed-Upon Procedures included with this report.

We were engaged by Somerville Housing Authority to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on compliance and other matters prescribed by DHCD, for the year ended March 31, 2024. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the Somerville Housing Authority and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the DHCD and the Somerville Housing Authority and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Rector, Reeder & Lofton, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

Loganville, Georgia October 28, 2024

| Housing  | Authority N   | ame:     | SOMERVILLE HOUS        | SING AUTHORITY      |                            |
|--|---------------|----------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Fisca  | l Year End (F | FYE):    | Mar 2024               |                     |                            |
| Date of  | AUP Condu     | cted:    | 7/15/2024 12:00:00 A   | M                   |                            |
| Ex   | xecutive Dire | ctor:    | Diane L Cohen          |                     |                            |
|  | (             | CPA:     | Rector Reeder & Lofton | on                  |                            |
|  | CPA Ph        | none:    | 7708798411             |                     |                            |
|  | 1             | HMS:     | Lisa Taylor            |                     |                            |
| Total  | AUP Except    | ions:    | 0                      |                     |                            |
|  | A. G          | eneral A | Accounting             |                     |                            |
| Total # of exceptions: 0   |               |          |                        | Rating: No Findings |                            |
|  | Exceptions    | Exc      | eption Explanation     | CPA Recommendations | LHA Response               |
| A. Reconciling financial statements to general ledger.   |               |          |                        |                     |                            |
| 1. The amounts reported on the Operating Statement and Balance Sheet (DHCD Forms 51-1 and 51-2, respectively) reconcile to the LHA's general ledger. (Tolerable error of +/-\$100). For all cases that don't match, please detail specifics including at a minimum account and variance amount in column to right. | NE            |          |                        |                     |                            |
| B. The following general ledger accounts reconcile to support match, please detail specifics including at a minimum accoun   |               |          |                        |                     | : For all cases that don't |
| 1. Cash accounts (#1111 to #1114.1 and #1162) are in agreement with bank statements and reconciliations  | NE            |          |                        |                     |                            |
| 2. Tenant Accounts Receivable and Prepaid Tenant Rent accounts (#1122, #1124 and #2240) are in agreement with agings of Tenants Accounts Receivable (TAR)  | NE            |          |                        |                     |                            |
| 3. Capital Assets and Accumulated Depreciation (all fixed assets except 1400.2) are in agreement with the depreciation schedule/fixed asset listing).  | NE            |          |                        |                     |                            |
| 4. Accounts Payables accounts (#2111, #2111.1, #2120 and #2139) are in agreement with supporting documentation for Accounts Payables and accruals.   | NE            |          |                        |                     |                            |
| 5. Accrued Compensated Absences accounts (#2135 and #2335.01) are in agreement with the compensated absences schedule.   | NE            |          |                        |                     |                            |
| 6. DHCD approved budget exemptions for direct reimbursement as found in the (ANUEL & Subsidy Worksheet - Section 8 in the Operating Statement) are in agreement with LHA record of actual expenses in the General Ledger.  | NE            |          |                        |                     |                            |

Wednesday, October 30, 2024 Page 1 of 7

| <ul> <li>7. Salaries and Gross Wages (4110, 4410, 4120) (tolerable error of +/- 3.0%) are in agreement with the MA form WR-1 (state filings).</li> <li>8. Balance Sheet Accounts (#2140, #2339.1, and #2339.2) are in agreement with OPEB/pension reporting.</li> </ul>  | NE<br>NE        |                      |               |                                 |                            |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| C. EOHLC Public Housing Notice #2018-4, Direct Cost Exempt   | ion for Opera   | ating Reserve Aug    | mentation     | in FY2018 Budget & New Oper     | rating Reserve Thresholds. |
| 1. The amounts reported on the Operating Statement and Balance Sheet (DHCD Forms 51-1 and 51-2, respectively) reconcile to the LHA's general ledger. (Tolerable error of +/-\$100). For all cases that don't match, please detail specifics including at a minimum account and variance amount in column to right. | NE              |                      |               |                                 |                            |
|  | B. T            | enant Accounting     |               |                                 |                            |
| Total # of exceptions: 0   |                 |                      |               | Rating: No Findings             |                            |
|  | Exceptions      | Exception Expl       | anation       | CPA Recommendations             | LHA Response               |
| A. Select a random sample of rent transactions (Small - 5, Medand 20% are lease enforcements (if have).  | d - 10, Large · | · 15, Very Large - 2 | 0) of rent to | ransactions. Include at least 2 | 0% are credit adjustments  |
| 1. The Authority retained supporting documentation for rent receipts.  | NE              |                      |               |                                 |                            |
| 2. The Authority posted rent receipts to the correct tenant accounts.  | NE              |                      |               |                                 |                            |
| 3. The Authority retained documentation supporting credit adjustments.   | NE              |                      |               |                                 |                            |
| 4. The Authority followed its rent collection policy for non-<br>payment of rent (i.e., issued a notice to quit, followed eviction<br>protocol.)   | NE              |                      |               |                                 |                            |
| B. Account Write-Offs  |                 |                      |               |                                 |                            |
| Documentation of Board approval to write-off account (board approval of write-off required per budget guidelines for Acct #4570 - Collection Loss).  | NE              |                      |               |                                 |                            |
| C. Vacancies Being Reported in Vacancy System  |                 |                      |               |                                 |                            |
| Verify that the number of vacant units accounted for in the LHA's operating software is the same number of vacancies reported by the LHA in the EOHLC On Line Vacancy System for the fiscal year   | NE              |                      |               |                                 |                            |

| C. Payroll               |     |                     |                     |              |  |
|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|
| Total # of exceptions: 0 |     |                     | Rating: No Findings |              |  |
| Exceptions               | Exc | ception Explanation | CPA Recommendations | LHA Response |  |
| A. Wage Reporting        |     |                     |                     |              |  |

Wednesday, October 30, 2024 Page 2 of 7

| 1. Actual wages for the Top 5 highest paid employees was consistent with the DHCD-approved budget (Schedule of All Salaries and Positions Report), excluding over-time and longevity payments. (Tolerable error of +/- 3.0% of budgeted salary)  | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
|--|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2. Verify the amount reported on the Top 5 Compensation Form matches exactly the amount reported on reconciled to the WR-1.  | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| 3. LHA is in possession of DHCD-approved executive contract signed by the LHA, Executive Director and DHCD. If LHA can show that currently being processed by DHCD and was not returned to the LHA for failing to meet DCHD's requirements, LHA can produce the last DHCD-approved executive contract or at-will agreement signed by the LHA, Executive Director and DHCD. | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| 3. Payroll Testing for all employees from all funding sources  | - Select a sir               | ngle payroll period:  |                                   |                             |
| The payroll register accurately accounts for time worked as logged on employee timesheets/time cards.  | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| 2. Timesheets/time cards are maintained by all employees (including Executive Director) and were approved by supervisor (except Executive Director) including leave taken.   | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| C. Compensated Absences Policy   | 1                            |   |                                   |                             |
| identified on timesheets/time cards and accurately accounted for in a compensated absences register.   | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| 1. Personnel Policy includes (1) the limits on the amount of vacation and sick leave that will be accrued each year, and when and how such leave will be accrued; (2) a limit on the amount of accrued vacation that may be carried over from year to year, and; (3) a cap on the payout for accrued and unused sick leave at the end of employment per PHN 2017-14.       | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| The Authority is accounting for annual leave time earned in accordance with the Authority's personnel policy.  | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
|  | D.                           | Accounts Payable  |                                   |                             |
| Total # of exceptions: 0   |                              |   | Rating: No Findings               |                             |
|  | Exceptions                   | Exception Explanation   | CPA Recommendations               | LHA Response                |
| A. Select a random sample of (Small - 15, Med - 20, Large - 25, arge or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disbuemployee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one cafor all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the   | rsements jo<br>apital expens | urnal. The auditor should subset, at least one operating expe | stitute for at least one credit c | ard statement, at least one |
| 1. Cash disbursements were authorized in accordance with the Authority's policies.   | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| Cash disbursements are in agreement with supporting documentation.   | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| Supporting documentation is sufficiently detailed.   | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |
| 4. Costs are allowable (i.e. sales tax, alcohol, lottery tickets)  | NE                           |   |                                   |                             |

Wednesday, October 30, 2024

| NE   |  |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| NE   |  |   |  |
|  | E. Inventory   |   |  |
|  |  | Rating: No Findings   |  |
| Exceptions                                     | Exception Explanation  | CPA Recommendations   | LHA Response   |
|  |  |   |  |
| NE   |  |   |  |
|  | F. Procurement   |   |  |
|  |  | Rating: No Findings   |  |
| Exceptions                                     | Exception Explanation  | CPA Recommendations   | LHA Response   |
| d. From thes<br>irements valu<br>it valuing mo | e purchases that should having \$10,000 or more; if possire than \$50,000 (for goods a | e been competitively procured ible when selecting the sample nd services for MGL c. 30B only  | , select a sample (Small - 3,<br>e, include at least one<br>y). If any in the sample were  |
|  |  |   |  |
| NE   |  |   |  |
| NE   | # \$50,000) (for goods and so  | ruiges for MCL o 20P anhi-  |  |
|  | NE   | Exceptions Exception Explanation  NE  NE  NE  F. Procurement  Exceptions Exception Explanation  or check register) as well as the contract red. From these purchases that should have urements valuing \$10,000 or more; if possint valuing more than \$50,000 (for goods a sampled purchases that went through pro | Rating: No Findings  Exceptions Exception Explanation CPA Recommendations  NE  NE  NE  F. Procurement  Rating: No Findings  Exceptions Exception Explanation CPA Recommendations  F. Procurement  Rating: No Findings  Exceptions Exception Explanation CPA Recommendations  or check register) as well as the contract register and identify purchases and. From these purchases that should have been competitively procured urrements valuing \$10,000 or more; if possible when selecting the sample in valuing more than \$50,000 (for goods and services for MGL c. 30B onl sampled purchases that went through procurement, follow procedures under the sample of |

Wednesday, October 30, 2024 Page 4 of 7

| LHA can follow more conservative federal regulations when a  | pplicable. [ - | If N/A   | selected for any one | below, then defaul   | t all drop dov | wns to N/A in this section]  |
|--|----------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Proper procurement method used.  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 2. Proper selection based on MGL c.30B s.5 solicitation of quotes requirements.  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 3. Documentation of a written purchase description with solicitation of written quotes from at least three persons.  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 4. Contract was for not more than 3 years unless majority board vote allowed it to be longer.  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 5. Board vote is documented approving individual contract, or a board vote to delegate authority over certain contracts (by dollar threshold or other criteria) to an LHA staff member, usually Executive Director.              | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 6. Contract did not go through automatic renewals unless renewals were part of the original procurement.   | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 7. The contracts are included on the Authority's contract register.  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| C. Known and possible procurements valuing (more than \$50, LHA can follow more conservative federal regulations when a  |                |          |                      |                      | t all drop dov | wns to N/A in this section]  |
| Proper procurement method used.  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 2. Proper selection based on MGL c.30B s.5 IFB requirements or MGL c.30B s.6 RFP requirements. If using MGL C.30B s.6 RFP requirements, LHA must have a Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) conduct the procurement under c.30B s.6. | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 3. Documentation of Newspaper advertisement, LHA's Office and COMMBUYS two weeks prior to bidding process. If contract was for over \$100K, it was advertised in the Goods & Services Bulletin.                                  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 4. If IFB, contract award went to lowest bidder. If RFP, contract went to lowest bidder or letter explaining why went with another bidder.   | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 5. Board vote is documented approving individual contract, or a board vote to delegate authority over certain contracts (by dollar threshold or other criteria) to an LHA staff member, usually Executive Director.              | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| Contract did not go through automatic renewals unless renewals were part of the original procurement.  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
| 7. The contracts are included on the Authority's contract register.  | NE             |          |                      |                      |                |                              |
|  | G. Eli         | gibility | Compliance           |                      |                |                              |
| Total # of exceptions: 0   |                |          |                      | Rating: N            | No Findings    |                              |
|  | Exceptions     | Exc      | eption Explanation   | CPA Recommo          | endations      | LHA Response                 |
| A. Public Housing - Select a sample (Small LHA - 5, Medium L<br>multiple property managers, at least one file should be selecte  |                |          | y Large LHA - 15) of | tenant files (from p | orograms 200   | ), 667, 705); if the LHA has |

Wednesday, October 30, 2024
Page 5 of 7

| 1. The Authority performed timely annual rent determinations (or bi-annual if the Authority has a waiver from EOHLC to do so).                         | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
|--|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| The Authority properly calculated rent.  | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 3. The Authority verified family composition.  | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| The Authority verified income, exclusions from income and deductions.  | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 5. The Authority properly sent notifications of rent redetermination at least 60 days prior to the effective date.                                     | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 6. The Authority properly sent notifications of rent change at least 14 days prior to the effective date.  | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 7. The Authority was timely in the execution of lease addendums.   | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| B. MRVP - Select a sample of annual rent determinations (sam drop downs to N/A in this section]  | ple 10% (mi | n:1 max:15) of leased MRVP u | nits). [ - If N/A selected for ar | ny one below, then default all |
| The Authority performed timely annual rent determinations.   | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| The Authority properly calculated rent.  | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 3. The Authority verified family composition.  | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| The Authority verified income, exclusions from income and deductions.  | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 5. The Authority obtained Certificates of Fitness (COF).   | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 6. The Authority obtained Letters of Compliance for Lead Paint if child <6 years old and building built prior to 1978 with no new construction permit. | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 7. The Authority obtained Proofs of Ownership  | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |
| 8. The Authority obtained W9s for landlords.   | NE          |                              |                                   |                                |

Wednesday, October 30, 2024 Page 6 of 7

| H. Section 8  |            |                       |                     |              |  |  |
|---|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Total # of exceptions: 0  |            | Rating: No Findings   |                     |              |  |  |
|   | Exceptions | Exception Explanation | CPA Recommendations | LHA Response |  |  |
| A. Section 8 New Construction/ Substantial Rehabilitation   |            |                       |                     |              |  |  |
| Board vote is documented approving Section 8 New Construction/Substantial Rehabilitation Compliance Certification to ensure compliance with the Affordable Use Restriction for any existing S8 NC/SR property owned by the LHA. | NE         |                       |                     |              |  |  |

Wednesday, October 30, 2024 Page 7 of 7